

Uncertainty in Inverted Pendulum Thrust Measurements

J. Mackey, T. Haag, and H. Kamhawi

NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio
44135

S. Hall and P. Peterson

Vantage Partners LLC, Brook Park, Ohio 44142

54th AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference
9 July 2018, Cincinnati, Ohio

Introduction


- Thrust measurement is critical to the characterization of electric propulsion (EP) systems.
 - Quantify: thrust, specific impulse, and efficiency.
 - Challenging because of low thrust to weight ratios of EP systems.
 - Sources of potential error must be well understood for reliable data.
 - Strong need for detailed uncertainty analysis.
- NASA GRC vacuum facility 5 (VF-5) and vacuum facility 6 (VF-6) have similar inverted pendulum thrust stands.
 - Several other NASA GRC thrust stands also exist with similar characteristics.




Model of an Inverted Pendulum Stand




Calibration Mode



Calibration Details





Thruster Mode




Methodology

- Classic approach of Figliola and Beasley, Abernethy et al., or Moffat.
 - Design-stage, single-measurement
- Propagate error of independent sources using a truncated Taylor Series expansion.
- Combine normalized error sources using a root sum of squares (RSS) type norm.



Methodology (cont.)

- Thrust stands can be operated in one of two modes:
 - Displacement mode
 - » Load vs. deflected position
 - Null coil mode
 - » Position held constant with a restoring force provided by a “null coil”
 - » Load vs. null coil current
- Example calibration dataset (right) can be reliably fit with a linear regression.
- Thrust can be estimated from calibration regression parameters and null coil shunt voltage.
- Objective of this work is to quantify the possible difference between a “measured” thrust and the “actual” thrust to some confidence level.



$$T = a + b V_T \pm U_T$$

$$U_T \text{ } 95\% \text{ Confidence}$$




Sources of Uncertainty

Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters of Interest	Parameter Description
Thrust vector	$e_\theta = 1 - \cos(\theta)$	θ	Thrust vector angle
Stand displacement drift	$e_x = \frac{k x_T - \tilde{x}_i }{\bar{T}}$	$ x_T - \tilde{x}_i $, $k = \omega_n^2 m$	Stand position drift, Stiffness
Stand velocity drift	$e_{\dot{x}} = \frac{c \dot{x}_T - \tilde{\dot{x}}_i }{\bar{T}}$	$ \dot{x}_T - \tilde{\dot{x}}_i $, c	Stand velocity drift, Damping coefficient
Stand inclination drift	$e_\gamma = \frac{mg \sin \gamma_T - \tilde{\gamma}_i }{\bar{T}}$	$ \gamma_T - \tilde{\gamma}_i $, mg	Stand inclination drift, Thruster weight
Shunt thermal drift	$e_{shunt} = \frac{\alpha t_T - \tilde{t}_i }{\bar{R}_{shunt}}$	$ t_T - \tilde{t}_i $, α , \bar{R}_{shunt}	Temperature drift, Thermal sensitivity, Nominal resistance
Calibration slope repeatability	$e_{slope} = \frac{S_b \bar{V}_T}{\bar{T}} = S_b \left(\frac{1}{b} - \frac{a}{b\bar{T}} \right)$	S_b	Calibration gain standard deviation
Calibration regression correlation	$e_{S_{xy}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(C_i - [a + bV_i])^2}{n - 2}} / \bar{T}$	C_i , $a + bV_i$	Calibration force, Calibration regression
DAQ uncertainty	$e_{V_i} = \frac{U_{V_i}}{\bar{V}_T} = U_{V_i} \frac{\bar{T} - a}{b}$	U_{V_i}	Data acquisition uncertainty
Calibration uncertainty	$e_{C_i} = \frac{1}{\bar{T}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial C_i} U_{C_i}$	U_{C_i}	Calibration uncertainty, see table 2

Sources of Uncertainty


Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters of Interest	Parameter Description
Thrust vector	$e_\theta = 1 - \cos(\theta)$	θ	Thrust vector angle
Stand displacement drift	$k x_T - x_s $	$ x_m - \dot{x}_s $	Stand position drift, Stiffness
Stand velocity drift			Stand velocity drift, Damping coefficient
Stand inclination drift			Stand inclination drift, Thruster weight
Shunt thermal drift			Temperature drift, Thermal sensitivity, Nominal resistance
Calibration slope repeatability	e_e		Calibration gain standard deviation
Calibration regression correlation	e_e		Calibration force, Calibration regression
DAQ uncertainty			Data acquisition uncertainty
Calibration uncertainty	$e_{C_i} = \bar{T} \overline{\partial C_i} U_{C_i}$	σ_t	Calibration uncertainty, see table 2




Sources of Uncertainty

Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters of Interest	Parameter Description
Thrust vector	$e_\theta = 1 - \cos(\theta)$	θ	Thrust vector angle
Stand displacement drift	$e_x = \frac{k x_T - \tilde{x}_i }{\bar{T}}$	$ x_T - \tilde{x}_i $, $k = \omega_n^2 m$	Stand position drift, Stiffness
Stand velocity drift	$c \dot{x}_T - \tilde{\dot{x}}_i $	$ \dot{x}_T - \tilde{\dot{x}}_i $,	Stand velocity drift, Spring coefficient
Stand inclination drift			Inclination drift, Center of gravity
Shunt thermal drift			Temperature drift, Thermal sensitivity, Thermal resistance
Calibration slope repeatability			Calibration gain Standard deviation
Calibration regression correlation			Calibration force, Regression regression
DAQ uncertainty			Data acquisition Uncertainty
Calibration uncertainty			Calibration uncertainty, Table 2

Calibration Mode



Thruster Mode




A red double-headed arrow at the bottom indicates the correspondence between the two modes: x_i, \dot{x}_i in mode i and x_T, \dot{x}_T in mode T.


Sources of Uncertainty

Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters of Interest	Parameter Description
Thrust vector	$e_\theta = 1 - \cos(\theta)$	θ	Thrust vector angle
Stand displacement drift	$e_x = \frac{k x_T - \tilde{x}_i }{\bar{T}}$	$ x_T - \tilde{x}_i $, $k = \omega_n^2 m$	Stand position drift, Stiffness
Stand velocity drift	$e_{\dot{x}} = \frac{c \dot{x}_T - \tilde{\dot{x}}_i }{\bar{T}}$	$ \dot{x}_T - \tilde{\dot{x}}_i $, c	Stand velocity drift, Damping coefficient
Stand inclination drift			Inclination drift, Center weight
Shunt thermal drift			Temperature drift, Thermal sensitivity, Thermal resistance
Calibration slope repeatability			Calibration gain Standard deviation
Calibration regression correlation			Calibration force, Calibration regression
DAQ uncertainty			Acquisition uncertainty
Calibration uncertainty			Calibration uncertainty, Example 2

Calibration Mode




Thruster Mode



The diagram shows two configurations of a mechanical system. In both cases, a mass m is suspended from a spring with stiffness k and damping c . The system is tilted at an angle γ relative to the vertical. Gravity mg acts vertically downwards. The displacement x and velocity \dot{x} are measured. A sensor N provides feedback to a controller C . In the left configuration (Calibration Mode), the system is tilted at an angle γ_i . In the right configuration (Thruster Mode), a thrust vector T is applied at an angle θ to the horizontal. Red circles highlight the spring-damper element (k, c) and the measurement points (x, \dot{x}).

Sources of Uncertainty

Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters of Interest	Parameter Description
Thrust vector	$e_\theta = 1 - \cos(\theta)$	θ	Thrust vector angle
Stand displacement drift	$e_x = \frac{k x_T - \tilde{x}_i }{\bar{T}}$	$ x_T - \tilde{x}_i $, $k = \omega_n^2 m$	Stand position drift, Stiffness
Stand velocity drift	$e_{\dot{x}} = \frac{c \dot{x}_T - \tilde{\dot{x}}_i }{\bar{T}}$	$ \dot{x}_T - \tilde{\dot{x}}_i $, c	Stand velocity drift, Damping coefficient
Stand inclination drift	$e_\gamma = \frac{mg \sin \gamma_T - \tilde{\gamma}_i }{\bar{T}}$	$ \gamma_T - \tilde{\gamma}_i $, mg	Stand inclination drift, Thruster weight
Shunt thermal drift	$\alpha t_T - t_i $	$ t_T - t_i $	Temperature drift, Cal sensitivity, Cal resistance
Calibration slope repeatability			Calibration gain Std deviation
Calibration regress correlation			Calibration force, Cal regression
DAQ uncertainty			Aquisition Uncertainty
Calibration uncertainty			Calibration uncertainty, Table 2



The diagram illustrates the two modes of operation for the calibration system:

- Calibration Mode:** The system is vertical. A mass m hangs from a vertical rod. A calibration sensor c_i is attached to the rod. A force mg is applied at the top, and a deflection γ_i is measured.
- Thruster Mode:** The system is tilted at an angle θ . A thrust T is applied at the top. A mass m hangs from a vertical rod. A calibration sensor c_i is attached to the rod. A force mg is applied at the top, and a deflection γ_T is measured.

Arrows indicate the transition between Calibration Mode and Thruster Mode.

Sources of Uncertainty

Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters of Interest	Parameter Description
Thrust vector	$e_\theta = 1 - \cos(\theta)$	θ	Thrust vector angle
Stand displacement drift	$e_x = \frac{k x_T - \tilde{x}_i }{\bar{T}}$	$ x_T - \tilde{x}_i $, $k = \omega_n^2 m$	Stand position drift, Stiffness
Stand velocity drift	$e_{\dot{x}} = \frac{c \dot{x}_T - \tilde{\dot{x}}_i }{\bar{T}}$	$ \dot{x}_T - \tilde{\dot{x}}_i $, c	Stand velocity drift, Damping coefficient
Stand inclination drift	$e_\gamma = \frac{mg \sin \gamma_T - \tilde{\gamma}_i }{\bar{T}}$	$ \gamma_T - \tilde{\gamma}_i $, ma	Stand inclination drift, Thruster weight
Shunt thermal drift	$e_{shunt} = \frac{\alpha t_T - \tilde{t}_i }{\bar{R}_{shunt}}$	$ t_T - \tilde{t}_i $, α , \bar{R}_{shunt}	Temperature drift, Thermal sensitivity, Nominal resistance
Calibration slope repeatability	$S_b V_T / (1 - a \lambda)$	S_b	Calibration gain standard deviation
Calibration regression correlation			Calibration force, regression
DAQ uncertainty			Acquisition uncertainty
Calibration uncertainty	<p>Calibration Mode</p> <p>$R = 2\Omega$</p>  <p>$t_i = 25^\circ C$</p> <p>Thruster Mode</p> <p>$R = 2.01\Omega$</p>  <p>$t_T = 28^\circ C$</p>		

Sources of Uncertainty

Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters	Parameter Description
Thrust vector			Thrust vector angle
Stand displacement			Stand position drift, stiffness
Stand velocity drift			Stand velocity drift, damping coefficient
Stand inclination			Stand inclination drift, cluster weight
Shunt thermal drift			Temperature drift, thermal sensitivity, Nominal resistance
Calibration slope repeatability	R_{shunt}	α, \bar{R}_{shunt}	Calibration gain standard deviation
Calibration regression correlation	$e_{S_{xy}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(C_i - [a + bV_i])^2}{n - 2}} / \bar{T}$	$C_i, a + bV_i$	Calibration force, Calibration regression
DAQ uncertainty	$e_{V_i} = \frac{U_{V_i}}{\bar{V}_T} = U_{V_i} \frac{\bar{T} - a}{b}$	U_{V_i}	Data acquisition uncertainty
Calibration uncertainty	$e_{C_i} = \frac{1}{\bar{T}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial C_i} U_{C_i}$	U_{C_i}	Calibration uncertainty, see table 2

Figure: A scatter plot showing Calibration Slope (Y-axis) versus Time (X-axis). The data points show a slight upward trend over time. A dashed line represents a linear regression fit through the data. A normal distribution curve is overlaid on the right side of the plot, centered around the regression line.


Sources of Uncertainty

Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters of Interest	Parameter Description
Thrust vector	$e_\theta = 1 - \cos(\theta)$	θ	Thrust vector angle
Stand displacement drift			Stand position drift, Stiffness
Stand velocity drift			Stand velocity drift, Damping coefficient
Stand inclination drift			Stand inclination drift, Thruster weight
Shunt thermal drift			Temperature drift, Thermal sensitivity, Nominal resistance
Calibration slope repeatability	$e_{slope} = \frac{\sigma_b v_T}{\bar{T}} = S_b \left(\frac{1}{b} - \frac{a}{b\bar{T}} \right)$	σ_b	Calibration gain standard deviation
Calibration regression correlation	$e_{S_{xy}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(C_i - [a + bV_i])^2}{n - 2}} / \bar{T}$	$C_i, a + bV_i$	Calibration force, Calibration regression
DAQ uncertainty	$e_{V_i} = \frac{U_{V_i}}{V_T} = U_{V_i} \frac{T - a}{b}$	U_{V_i}	Data acquisition uncertainty
Calibration uncertainty	$e_{C_i} = \frac{1}{\bar{T}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial C_i} U_{C_i}$	U_{C_i}	Calibration uncertainty, see table 2

Sources of Uncertainty

Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters of Interest	Parameter Description
Thrust vector	$e_\theta = 1 - \cos(\theta)$	θ	Thrust vector angle
Stand displacement drift		δ_l, δ_m	Stand position drift, Stiffness
Stand velocity drift		δ_i, δ_m	Stand velocity drift, Damping coefficient
Stand inclination drift		δ_l, δ_w	Stand inclination drift, Thruster weight
Shunt thermal drift		δ_t, δ_r	Temperature drift, Thermal sensitivity, Nominal resistance
Calibration slope repeatability	$e_{slope} = \frac{\sigma_b v_T}{\bar{T}} = S_b \left(\frac{1}{b} - \frac{a}{b\bar{T}} \right)$	σ_b	Calibration gain standard deviation
Calibration regression correlation	$e_{S_{xy}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(C_i - [a + bV_i])^2}{n - 2}} / \bar{T}$	$C_i, a + bV_i$	Calibration force, Calibration regression
DAQ uncertainty	$e_{V_i} = \frac{U_{V_i}}{V_T} = U_{V_i} \frac{\bar{T} - a}{b}$	U_{V_i}	Data acquisition uncertainty
Calibration uncertainty	$e_{C_i} = \frac{1}{\bar{T}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial C_i} U_{C_i}$	U_{C_i}	Calibration uncertainty, see table 2

Sources of Uncertainty

Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters of Interest	Parameter Description
Thrust vector	$e_\theta = 1 - \cos(\theta)$	θ	Thrust vector angle
Stand displacement drift		d_l, m	Stand position drift, Stiffness
Stand velocity drift		d_i, k_d	Stand velocity drift, Damping coefficient
Stand inclination drift		d_t, w	Stand inclination drift, Thruster weight
Shunt thermal drift		T_b, R_n	Temperature drift, Thermal sensitivity, Nominal resistance
Calibration slope repeatability	$e_{slope} = \frac{s_b v_T}{\bar{T}} = S_b \left(\frac{1}{b} - \frac{a}{b\bar{T}} \right)$	s_b	Calibration gain standard deviation
Calibration regression correlation	$e_{S_{xy}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(C_i - [a + bV_i])^2}{n - 2}} / \bar{T}$	$C_i, a + bV_i$	Calibration force, Calibration regression
DAQ uncertainty	$e_{V_i} = \frac{U_{V_i}}{V_r} = U_{V_i} \frac{\bar{T} - a}{b}$	U_{V_i}	Data acquisition uncertainty
Calibration uncertainty	$e_{C_i} = \frac{1}{\bar{T}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial C_i} U_{C_i}$	U_{C_i}	Calibration uncertainty, see table 2




Calibration Sources of Uncertainty

Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters of Interest	Parameter Description
Calibration alignment	$e_\varphi = 1 - \cos(\varphi)$	φ	Calibration alignment angle
Calibration pulley moment	$e_M = \frac{M}{r\bar{C}_i}$	M	Calibration pulley moment
Calibration mass uncertainty	$e_{m_i} = \frac{U_{m_i}g}{\bar{C}_i}$	U_{m_i}	Calibration mass uncertainty
Calibration gravity uncertainty	$e_g = \frac{U_g}{g}$	U_g	Calibration gravity uncertainty


Calibration Sources of Uncertainty

Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters of Interest	Parameter Description
Calibration alignment	$e_\phi = 1 - \cos(\phi)$	ϕ	Calibration alignment angle
Calibration pulley moment	$e_M = \frac{M}{r\bar{C}_i}$	M	Calibration pulley moment
Calibration mass uncertainty	$e_{m_i} = \frac{U_{m_i}g}{\bar{C}_i}$	U_{m_i}	Calibration mass uncertainty
Calibration gravity uncertainty	$e_g = \frac{U_g}{g}$	U_g	Calibration gravity uncertainty




Calibration Sources of Uncertainty

Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters of Interest	Parameter Description
Calibration alignment	$e_\phi = 1 - \cos(\phi)$	ϕ	Calibration alignment angle
Calibration pulley moment	$e_M = \frac{M}{r\bar{C}_i}$	M	Calibration pulley moment
Calibration mass uncertainty	$e_{m_i} = \frac{U_{m_i}g}{\bar{C}_i}$	U_{m_i}	Calibration mass uncertainty
Calibration gravity uncertainty	$e_g = \frac{U_g}{g}$	U_g	Calibration gravity uncertainty




Calibration Sources of Uncertainty

Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters of Interest	Parameter Description
Calibration alignment	$e_\phi = 1 - \cos(\phi)$	ϕ	Calibration alignment angle
Calibration pulley moment	$e_M = \frac{M}{r\bar{C}_i}$	M	Calibration pulley moment
Calibration mass uncertainty	$e_{m_i} = \frac{U_{m_i}g}{\bar{C}_i}$	U_{m_i}	Calibration mass uncertainty
Calibration gravity uncertainty	$e_g = \frac{U_g}{g}$	U_g	Calibration gravity uncertainty



Calibration Sources of Uncertainty


Source	Relative Uncertainty	Parameters of Interest	Parameter Description
Calibration alignment	$e_\phi = 1 - \cos(\phi)$	ϕ	Calibration alignment angle
Calibration pulley moment	$e_M = \frac{M}{r\bar{C}_i}$	M	Calibration pulley moment
Calibration mass uncertainty	$e_{m_i} = \frac{U_{m_i}g}{\bar{C}_i}$	U_{m_i}	Calibration mass uncertainty
Calibration gravity uncertainty	$e_g = \frac{U_g}{g}$	U_g	Calibration gravity uncertainty



Combination of Sources

$$e_T = \frac{U_T}{\bar{T}} = \sqrt{e_\theta^2 + e_x^2 + e_{\dot{x}}^2 + e_\gamma^2 + e_{shunt}^2 + e_{slope}^2 + e_{S_{xy}}^2 + e_{V_i}^2 \sqrt{n} + e_{C_i}^2 \sqrt{n}}.$$


$$U_{C_i} = \bar{T} \sqrt{e_\varphi^2 + e_M^2 + e_{m_i}^2 + e_g^2}$$



Case Study NASA VF-6 Thrust Stand




- VF-6 inverted pendulum thrust stand has been recently constructed with uncertainty quantification in mind.
 - Technology Development Unit (TDU) 12.5 kW Hall thruster for Advanced Electric Propulsion System (AEPS).
- Null and damper coils on PID feedback loops.
- Inclination control on PID feedback loop.
- Linear variable differential transformer (LVDT) position measurement for PID feedback.
- Laser triangulation sensor as secondary position measurement.
- Electrolytic inclinometer tilt sensor for PID feedback.
- Inertial inclinometer tilt sensor for secondary inclination measurement.
- In-situ calibration mechanism.
- Protective thermal/environmental shroud.



Stand Characterization


- Stand stiffness, damping coefficient, and total dynamic mass were calculated.
 - May change with different thrusters, different thrust stands, and different setup configurations.

Displacement Mode Operation




Stand Stiffness $k=1.5 \text{ mN}/\mu\text{m}$

Stand Characterization (cont.)



“Free” Response to Impulse, No Active Coils




Stand Damping Coefficient $c=1.5 \text{ kg/s}$ ($\xi=2.5\text{e-}3$)

Stand Natural Frequency $\omega_n=0.788 \text{ Hz}$

Stand Characterization (cont.)

Nominal Quiescent Operation



Stand Velocity $\dot{x}=0.3 \text{ mm/s}$

Total Mass $m_{stand} + m_{thruster} = (14.9+46.7) \text{ kg}$




Summary of Assumed Values

Parameter	Term	Assumed Value
TDU Mass	m_{TDU}	46.7 kg
Stand Mass	m_{Stand}	14.9 kg
Total Weight	mg	604 N
Stand natural frequency	ω_n	0.788 Hz
Stand damping coefficient	c	1.5 kg/s
Stand position drift	$ x_T - \tilde{x}_i $	2.4 μm
Stand velocity drift	$ \dot{x}_T - \tilde{\dot{x}}_i $	0.3 mm/s
Stand inclination drift	$ \gamma_T - \tilde{\gamma}_i $	2.0 arc seconds
Shunt thermal sensitivity	α	100 ppm/°C
Shunt thermal drift	$ t_T - \tilde{t}_i $	10°C
Thrust vector alignment angle	θ	2.0°
Calibration slope repeatability	S_b	1.54 mN/V
DAQ uncertainty	U_{v_i}	600 μV
Calibration pulley moment	M	2.7e-6 Nm
Calibration alignment angle	φ	2.0°
Calibration mass uncertainty	U_{m_i}	0.1 g
Gravity uncertainty	U_g	0.01 m/s ²


Summary of Assumed Values

Parameter	Term	Assumed Value
TDU Mass	m_{TDU}	46.7 kg
Stand Mass	m_{Stand}	14.9 kg
Total Weight	mg	604 N
Stand natural frequency	ω_n	0.788 Hz
Stand damping coefficient	c	1.5 kg/s




Summary of Assumed Values

Parameter	Term	Assumed Value
TDU Mass	m_{TDU}	46.7 kg
Stand Mass	m_{Stand}	14.9 kg
Total Weight	mg	604 N
Stand natural frequency	ω_n	0.788 Hz
Stand damping coefficient	c	1.5 kg/s
Stand position drift	$ x_T - \tilde{x}_i $	2.4 μm
Stand velocity drift	$ \dot{x}_T - \dot{\tilde{x}}_i $	0.3 mm/s
Stand inclination drift	$ \gamma_T - \tilde{\gamma}_i $	2.0 arc seconds
Shunt thermal sensitivity	α	100 ppm/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$
Shunt thermal drift		
Thrust vector alignment angle		
Calibration slope repeatability		
DAQ uncertainty		
Calibration pulley moment		
Calibration alignment angle		
Calibration mass uncertainty		
Gravity uncertainty		




Summary of Assumed Values

Parameter	Term	Assumed Value
TDU Mass	m_{TDU}	46.7 kg
Stand Mass	m_{Stand}	14.9 kg
Total Weight	mg	604 N
Stand natural frequency	ω_n	0.788 Hz
Stand damping coefficient	c	1.5 kg/s
Stand position drift	$ x_T - \tilde{x}_i $	2.4 μ m
Stand velocity drift	$ \dot{x}_T - \tilde{\dot{x}}_i $	0.3 mm/s
Stand inclination drift	$ \nu_T - \tilde{\nu}_i $	2.0 arc seconds
Shunt thermal sensitivity	α	100 ppm/ $^{\circ}$ C
Shunt thermal drift	$ t_T - \tilde{t}_i $	10 $^{\circ}$ C



Summary of Assumed Values

Thruster Mode



Diagnostic for Verifying the Thrust Vector Requirement of the AEPS Hall-Effect Thruster and Comparison to the NEXT-C Thrust Vector Diagnostic

Gabriel F. Benavides¹, Jonathan A. Mackey², Drew M. Ahern³, and Robert E. Thomas⁴
NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, OH, USA 44135

Shunt thermal drift

$|t_T - \tilde{t}_i|$

10°C

Thrust vector alignment angle

θ

2.0°

Calibration slope repeatability

S_b

1.54 mN/V

DAQ uncertainty

U_{v_i}

600 μV

Calibration pulley moment

M

2.7e-6 Nm

Calibration alignment angle

φ

2.0°

Calibration mass uncertainty

U_{m_i}

0.1 g


Gravity uncertainty

U_g


0.01 m/s²

Summary of Assumed Values

Parameter	Term	Assumed Value
TDU Mass	m_{TDU}	16.71 g
Standoff	$d_{standoff}$	10 cm
Total mass	m_{total}	16.71 g
Standoff	$d_{standoff}$	10 cm
Shunt	S_b	1.54 mN/V
Shunt thermal drift	$ t_T - t_i $	10°C
Thrust vector alignment angle	θ	2.0°
Calibration slope repeatability	S_b	1.54 mN/V
DAQ uncertainty	U_{v_i}	600 μV
Calibration pulley moment	M	2.7e-6 Nm
Calibration alignment angle	φ	2.0°
Calibration mass uncertainty	U_{m_i}	0.1 g
Gravity uncertainty	U_g	0.01 m/s ²




Summary of Assumed Values

Parameter	Term	Assumed Value																					
TDU Mass	m_{TDU}	46.7 kg																					
	34980A system specifications and characteristics DMM accuracy \pm (% of reading + % of range) Includes measurement error, switching error, and transducer conversion error	<p style="text-align: right;">Measurement including switch error ^[1]</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Function</th> <th>Range ^[2]</th> <th>Frequency, etc.</th> <th>24 hour ^[2,3] $T_{cal} \pm 1^\circ C$</th> <th>90 days $T_{cal} \pm 0.0040$</th> <th>1 year $T_{cal} \pm 5^\circ C$</th> <th>Temperature coefficient/$^\circ C$ $>T_{cal} \pm 5^\circ C$</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>DC voltage (with 34921A/22A/ 31A/32A) ^{[4][5][6]}</td> <td>100.0000 mV 1.00000 V 10.00000 V</td> <td></td> <td>0.0030 - 0.0035 0.0020 - 0.0006 0.0015 + 0.0004</td> <td>0.0040 - 0.0040 0.0030 - 0.0007 0.0020 + 0.0005</td> <td>0.0050 - 0.0040 0.0040 - 0.0007 0.0035 + 0.0005</td> <td>0.0005 - 0.0005 0.0005 - 0.0001 0.0005 + 0.0001</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Input impedance = Hi-Z 10V range and below</td> <td>100.0000 V 300.0000 V</td> <td></td> <td>0.003 - 0.0006</td> <td>0.0045 - 0.0006</td> <td>0.0055 - 0.0006</td> <td>0.0005 - 0.0001</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Function	Range ^[2]	Frequency, etc.	24 hour ^[2,3] $T_{cal} \pm 1^\circ C$	90 days $T_{cal} \pm 0.0040$	1 year $T_{cal} \pm 5^\circ C$	Temperature coefficient/ $^\circ C$ $>T_{cal} \pm 5^\circ C$	DC voltage (with 34921A/22A/ 31A/32A) ^{[4][5][6]}	100.0000 mV 1.00000 V 10.00000 V		0.0030 - 0.0035 0.0020 - 0.0006 0.0015 + 0.0004	0.0040 - 0.0040 0.0030 - 0.0007 0.0020 + 0.0005	0.0050 - 0.0040 0.0040 - 0.0007 0.0035 + 0.0005	0.0005 - 0.0005 0.0005 - 0.0001 0.0005 + 0.0001	Input impedance = Hi-Z 10V range and below	100.0000 V 300.0000 V		0.003 - 0.0006	0.0045 - 0.0006	0.0055 - 0.0006	0.0005 - 0.0001
Function	Range ^[2]	Frequency, etc.	24 hour ^[2,3] $T_{cal} \pm 1^\circ C$	90 days $T_{cal} \pm 0.0040$	1 year $T_{cal} \pm 5^\circ C$	Temperature coefficient/ $^\circ C$ $>T_{cal} \pm 5^\circ C$																	
DC voltage (with 34921A/22A/ 31A/32A) ^{[4][5][6]}	100.0000 mV 1.00000 V 10.00000 V		0.0030 - 0.0035 0.0020 - 0.0006 0.0015 + 0.0004	0.0040 - 0.0040 0.0030 - 0.0007 0.0020 + 0.0005	0.0050 - 0.0040 0.0040 - 0.0007 0.0035 + 0.0005	0.0005 - 0.0005 0.0005 - 0.0001 0.0005 + 0.0001																	
Input impedance = Hi-Z 10V range and below	100.0000 V 300.0000 V		0.003 - 0.0006	0.0045 - 0.0006	0.0055 - 0.0006	0.0005 - 0.0001																	
Shunt thermal drift	$ t_T - \tilde{t}_i $	$10^\circ C$																					
Thrust vector alignment angle	θ	2.0°																					
Calibration slope repeatability	S_h	1.54 mN/V																					
DAQ uncertainty	U_{v_i}	$600 \mu\text{V}$																					
Calibration pulley moment	M	$2.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Nm}$																					
Calibration alignment angle	φ	2.0°																					
Calibration mass uncertainty	U_{m_i}	0.1 g																					
Gravity uncertainty	U_g	0.01 m/s^2																					

Summary of Assumed Values

Parameter	Term	Assumed Value
TDU Mass	m_{TDU}	46.7 kg
Stable Mass	m_i	14.01 g
Total Mass	M	
Stable Moment		
Stable Position		
Stable Velocity		
Stable Acceleration		
Stable Force		
Stable Torque		
Shuttle Mass		
Shuttle Position		
Thrust		
Calibration slope repeatability	S_b	1.54 mN/V
DAQ uncertainty	$U_{n.c.}$	600 μ V
Calibration pulley moment	M	2.7e-6 Nm
Calibration alignment angle	φ	2.0°
Calibration mass uncertainty	U_{m_i}	0.1 g
Gravity uncertainty	U_g	0.01 m/s ²




$\omega_o \text{ at } t_0$
 $\omega_1 \text{ at } t_1$

$$M = \frac{I_o(\omega_o - \omega_1)}{(t_o - t_1)}$$


Summary of Assumed Values

Parameter	Term	Assumed Value
TDU Mass	m_{TDU}	46.7 kg
Stand Mass	m_{Stand}	14.9 kg
Total Weight		104 N
Stand natural frequency		788 Hz
Stand damping		5 kg/s
Stand position uncertainty		4 μ m
Stand velocity uncertainty		3 mm/s
Stand inclination uncertainty		0 arc seconds
Shunt thermal uncertainty		00 ppm/ $^{\circ}$ C
Shunt thermal offset		0 $^{\circ}$ C
Thrust vector alignment		0 $^{\circ}$
Calibration slope repeatability	s_b	1.54 mN/V
DAQ uncertainty	U_{v_i}	600 μ V
Calibration pulley moment	M	2.7e-6 Nm
Calibration alignment angle	φ	2.0 $^{\circ}$
Calibration mass uncertainty	U_{m_i}	0.1 g
Gravity uncertainty	U_g	0.01 m/s ²




Summary of Assumed Values

Parameter	Term	Assumed Value
TDU Mass	m_{TDU}	46.7 kg
Stand Mass	m_{Stand}	14.9 kg
Total Weight		N
Stand natural frequency		8 Hz
Stand damping		kg/s
Stand position control		um
Stand velocity control		mm/s
Stand inclination		arc seconds
Shunt thermal sensor		ppm/ $^{\circ}$ C
Shunt thermal compensation		C
Thrust vector alignment		
Calibration slope		mN/V
DAQ uncertainty	U_{v_i}	600 μ V
Calibration pulley moment	M	2.7e-6 Nm
Calibration alignment angle	ϕ	2.0 $^{\circ}$
Calibration mass uncertainty	U_{m_i}	0.1 g
Gravity uncertainty	U_g	0.01 m/s ²



Summary of Assumed Values


Parameter	Term	Assumed Value
TDU Mass	m_{TDU}	46.7 kg
Stand Mass	m_{Stand}	14.9 kg



Calibration slope repeatability	S_b	1.54 mN/V
DAQ uncertainty	U_{v_i}	600 μV
Calibration pulley moment	M	2.7e-6 Nm
Calibration alignment angle	φ	2.0°
Calibration mass uncertainty	U_{m_i}	0.1 g
Gravity uncertainty	U_g	0.01 m/s^2


Uncertainty Results

- Relative uncertainty ranges from 7 to 1% depending on thrust level.
 - TDU generally operates 400 to 600 mN, so realistic uncertainty is between 1 to 2%.
 - Higher thrust has lower relative uncertainty.
- Absolute uncertainty (also full scale uncertainty) is fairly constant over full range, ~6.9 mN.



Uncertainty Sources

- Pareto plots highlight the leading sources of uncertainty for two cases of nominal thrust (100mN and 600mN).
- Inclination drift and displacement drift account for >70% of the uncertainty.
- Calibration uncertainty, slope repeatability, velocity drift, and DAQ uncertainty are the remaining significant sources.





Scaling Parameters

- Scaling results to other thrust stands, thrusters, and configurations will depend primarily on three scaling factors:
 - TDU in VF-6 stiffness to thrust ratio $k/T = 2.54 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
 - TDU in VF-6 damping to thrust ratio $c/T = 2.54 \text{ s/m}$
 - TDU in VF-6 weight to thrust ratio $mg/T = 1023$
- Considering uncertainty only, it is advisable to minimize these terms.
 - Temporal resolution of the stand may suffer for low stiffness ratio.
 - Structural integrity of the stand may suffer for low weight ratio.



Conclusions

- A 95% confidence uncertainty of $\pm 6.9\text{mN}$ has been established for TDU in VF-6.
- The leading sources of uncertainty are stand inclination drift and stand displacement drift.
- A stand characterization method and a set of scaling parameters have been established and calculated for the case study.
- Future Work:
 - Various thrusters on VF-6 thrust stand, other inverted pendulum thrust stands, torsional thrust stands, and quantification of additional sources.



Acknowledgements

- Space Technology Mission Directorate in support of the Solar Electric Propulsion Technology Demonstration Mission Project for funding the joint NASA GRC and JPL development of the Advanced Electric Propulsion System.

Questions?

